

EIUG

Comments on the IRP2010 Input Planning Parameters

The Critical Issues

1. Transparency of the process
 2. A time for realism
 3. All to understand and accept the growth assumptions
 4. Clarity of any Carbon constraints
 5. A Fair and Balanced assessment of all generation options
 6. An Integrated approach on demand side issues
-



Transparency of the process

- EIUG commends government for this process
- **Publish** a summary of submissions **from** this round of consultation
- Make transparent the criteria to evaluate scenarios



A time for realism

- Already there are serious constraints on funding
- We must ensure RSA growth and job creation
- The supply situation is going to be even tougher 2011 to 2016
- **Government** and Eskom cannot do this alone
- Time has come to work together
- Government must accelerate changing and making policy



All to understand and accept the growth assumptions

- The IRP assumption for growth is THE KEY parameter but...
- The most uncertain variable and so...
- Essential that we all understand and accept the growth assumptions



Clarity of any Carbon constraints

Carbon emission reduction...

- Will make electricity much more expensive
- IRP must work with clear policy guidelines, recommend
- DEA LTMS but with clarification



A Fair and Balanced assessment of all generation options

- All options must be considered on an equitable basis..
 - Affordability
 - Reliability
 - Longevity
 - Environmental impact



An Integrated approach on demand side issues

- Many vested interests
- We need a SA Inc solution
- Too much fragmentation
- Lots of “heat” little “light”
- We need one integrated collaborative national approach
- Time for accelerating policy change



Questions?

